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SUMMARY
Introduction/Objective Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) is a non-invasive and safe brain stimulation method for the treatment of therapy resistant depression in adulthood. The German S3 guideline for unipolar depression recommends the use of high frequency rTMS of the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex for depressive patients who did not respond primarily to antidepressant pharmacotherapy. Although a number of meta-analyses demonstrated its antidepressant efficacy on a high evidence level, rTMS is rarely offered to patients with mental disorders in German psychiatric hospitals.

Methods We introduced a questionnaire-based survey examining patients’ (n = 122) and medical students’ (n = 53) attitude towards rTMS. The questionnaire consisted of 10 questions with a 5-point Likert-scale. When testing for group differences, we conducted χ² tests.

Results The majority of students and patients are not aware of rTMS as a psychiatric treatment of depression, with more patients than students not being aware (χ²(1) = 9.462, p = 0.002; 39.3% vs. 17%). However, participants wish to be informed in more detail about rTMS. In general, positive attitudes cover the assumption of safety, while negative attitudes show concerns regarding the efficacy and a lack of trust in the method, mainly due to the fear of irreversible brain damage. Most participants would rather take psychiatric medication than rTMS. rTMS was assumed to be a helpful [(χ²(2) = 16.710, p < 0.001 (patients: 32.8% vs. students: 5.7%)] and well-tolerated treatment [(χ²(1) = 9.110, p = 0.003 (36.1% vs. 15.1%)] significantly more often by patients than by students.

Conclusion Our results show a clear need for more information on rTMS as a psychiatric treatment for patients and medical students to fight present prejudices and negative assumptions so that this treatment method with fewer side effects than medication may be used more often.
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INTRODUCTION

Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) is a non-invasive and safe treatment option for patients with treatment resistant depression in adulthood [1, 2]. The antidepressant efficacy of high-frequency rTMS (10–20 Hz) over the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) has been suggested in a large number of studies and meta-analyses [3–6]. It is recommended by the German S3-guidelines for unipolar depression as a possible treatment for patients who are unresponsive to antidepressants [3].

Despite these promising results, rTMS was the rarest treatment presented to patients with mental disorders in Germany in 2012 [7]. Only 9% of German psychiatric clinics offered rTMS, making it even more infrequent than electroconvulsive therapy (ECT), which was used in 43% of institutions [7].

Based on these results, there appears to be a discrepancy between the evident antidepressant efficacy and tolerability of rTMS and its application in everyday psychiatric practice. One possible reason for this may include issues with health insurance coverage, as costs of an rTMS treatment on an outpatient basis are currently not covered by the German statutory health insurance. Therefore, a reimbursement is restricted to patients who are insured privately with adequate cover as well as self-payers. However, it is possible to offer rTMS as a treatment covered by German statutory health insurance for inpatients free of further costs, thus insurance coverage alone might only partly explain why this treatment is used so rarely. Lack of public knowledge about rTMS, as well as patients’ and medical staffs’ experiences, opinions and attitudes towards the treatment may also explain why it is so infrequently employed.

Though it is widely known that physical treatments in psychiatry such as ECT are stigmatized in the public opinion, it is less clear how the public and patients perceive rTMS [8, 9]. Walter et al. [8] conducted a study examining experience, knowledge, and attitudes of recipients of rTMS regarding the treatment. They found that significantly fewer patients remembered adverse side effects (muscle aches, nausea or vomiting, confusion and
memory impairments) after rTMS than after ECT and psychopharmacological treatment [8]. Experience and opinions about rTMS were found to be generally positive compared to psychopharmacological treatment and ECT [8]. Moreover, there is a first questionnaire based study focusing patient’s attitudes towards rTMS, which found that most of the study patients (suffering from treatment resistant depression) recommended the use of rTMS in case of depression [10]. Though these results are promising, further research is necessary to solidify these findings and to establish how patients and medical staff perceive this therapy. Thus, we set out to examine medical students’ and patients’ awareness and opinion about rTMS, in the hope that this knowledge will explain the current lack of interest in this treatment and to help promote it as a safe and effective way to treat depression.

**METHODS**

To examine patients’ and students’ attitudes towards rTMS treatment for depression a questionnaire was developed and used in a preceding study employing a sample of 150 depressive patients, 150 health workers and 150 healthy controls [11]. In the current retrospective questionnaire-based study, 122 depressive inpatients were recruited at the LVR Psychiatric Clinic in Düsseldorf. The patients received their diagnoses by common clinical assessment using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders - IV criteria [12]. The patients were given the questionnaire to fill in at their own responsibility. Moreover, 53 medical students of the medical facility of the Heinrich Heine University of Düsseldorf were recruited within a university course. They filled out the questionnaire at the end of a randomly chosen medical lecture. No further inclusion or exclusion criteria were applied. There was no further randomization because of the design of the study. The questionnaire consisted of 10 questions, where answers have to be given on a five-point Likert scale with the extremes “totally agree” and “totally disagree”. The questionnaire was handed to the patients by the nursing staff and collected anonymously in sealed envelopes to eliminate potential bias. Medical students filled out the questionnaire after a university course and the patients during their inpatient stay. All participants gave their informed consent and the institutional Committee on Ethics of the Heinrich Heine University of Düsseldorf (No. 2807) approved the study protocol. When testing for group differences, we conducted $\chi^2$ tests on our (originally Likert-scaled) data, which is appropriate for categorical data such as our Likert-scaled data. For $\chi^2$ tests we have no assumption of normal distribution, no further pre-tests for testing it were conducted. For reasons of presentation, data is displayed using percentages. However, $\chi^2$ tests were used on our Likert-scaled data. Percentage scores were calculated summing up “totally agree” and “agree” into “yes” and “totally disagree” and “disagree” into “no.” Therefore, some values do not add up to 100% due to neutral answers, which generally contains little information.

**RESULTS**

The students consisted of 69.2 % women and were aged between 18 and 69 years ($M = 43.5, SD = 12.2$). Moreover, the medical students (60.4 % women) were aged between 23 and 44 years ($M = 26, SD = 4.63$). Our results show, that the majority of students and patients are not aware of rTMS as a psychiatric treatment for depression, with patients being significantly more aware than students [$\chi^2(1) = 9.462, p = 0.002; 39.3\% \text{ vs } 17\%$]. However, most students and patients would apply it in case of acute depression, although the majority of participants do not trust rTMS. Most patients and students seem to assume that rTMS causes irreversible brain damage and brain manipulation as an adverse side effect and only 34% of patients and 41% of patients are unafraid of rTMS. Medication seems to be preferred over rTMS despite fewer side effects of the latter. Most medical students do not perceive rTMS as a helpful treatment, whereas patient attitudes appear to be more polarized: about one third perceive it as helpful and one third have the opposing opinion. This difference is significant: $\chi^2(2) = 16.710, p < 0.001$ (patients: 32.8 % vs. students: 5.7 %). Moreover, significantly more patients than students think that rTMS as a treatment for depression is a well-tolerated method [$\chi^2(1) = 9.110, p = 0.003$ (36.1 % vs. 15.1 %)]. Altogether, it appears that patients have a more positive attitude towards rTMS than the students do. A larger proportion of patients than students are aware and willing to receive more detailed information about rTMS. Table 1 contains detailed distribution of answers concerning attitudes towards rTMS for students and patients.

**DISCUSSION**

rTMS is a safe, evidence-based brain stimulation technique for patients who do not primarily respond to psychopharmacotherapy [13]. rTMS is regularly used less often than psychopharmacotherapy and even than ECT in German psychiatric hospitals [96% standard therapy (psychopharmacotherapy) vs. 41% standard therapy (ECT) vs. 4% sometimes used (rTMS)] [7]. In the German population, ECT is generally not well known and is associated with negative attitudes [14]. Still, it is used more often than rTMS, although more negative side effects are reported under ECT than under rTMS application [8]. In an existing report the majority of participants would prefer psychopharmacotherapy over an application of rTMS, although patients experience fewer side effects through rTMS than through the medication [8].

In our study, we aimed to further explore the reasons for the low application rate in German psychiatric hospitals using a questionnaire assessing attitudes of patients and medical students toward rTMS. The results show that the majority of students and patients are not aware of rTMS as a psychiatric treatment for depression. Significantly more patients compared to students were not aware of rTMS. However, participants wish to be informed in more detail about rTMS. In general, positive attitudes...
include the assumption of safety, while negative attitudes show concerns regarding the efficacy and a lack of trust in the method, mainly due to the fear of irreversible brain damage. Most participants would rather take psychiatric medication than rTMS. Our results could show a significant need for more information about rTMS as a psychiatric treatment for patients and medical students to fight present prejudices and negative assumptions so that this antidepressant method with fewer side effects than medication may be used more often following the guidelines.

Positive attitudes cover the assumption of safety of the method, which stands in line with the findings of multiple randomized controlled trials and meta-analyses [2, 3]. However, in general participants showed a more negative attitude toward rTMS, which contradicts the findings of Singh, Sharma [15]. Our participants stated that they lack trust in the method and its efficacy, and that they are afraid of rTMS application, mainly due to irreversible brain damage. The reason might be the information deficit about rTMS as a psychiatric treatment in most participants, which was likely replaced by common prejudices connected with other brain stimulation techniques such as ECT [15]. The patients in our sample have a more positive attitude towards rTMS compared to medical students, with significantly more assumptions about the treatment being helpful and well tolerated than students. Our findings stand in line with a finding that patients who were treated with either sham or verum rTMS would recommend rTMS to others [10]. In their study AlHadi et al. [16] found that only 53% of the psychiatrists would agree to receive rTMS if they experienced a psychotic depressive condition, but 93% would refer their patients for rTMS. However, they could show in their study that psychiatrists had a more positive attitude towards rTMS if they had a family member or relative who was treated with rTMS. Meta-analyses constantly concluded that a patient’s experience with a brain stimulation technique (ECT) has a positive impact on attitudes toward it [9, 17]. This emphasizes the necessity of familiarity with the method and therefore a need for more detailed information for patients, but also medical staff in clinics [14, 18]. Possible limitations of the study include the small number of questions within the questionnaire, the non-standardized testing conditions, and the limited restricted variety in participant characteristics (only depressive patients and medical students). Therefore, the results of our study cannot be generalized to other groups without further research. Thus, future research could examine the public attitudes towards rTMS, other patient groups, and medical staff.

**CONCLUSION**

Our results show that there is still an obvious need for more information about rTMS as a psychiatric treatment for patients and for medical students. Only a small number of patients and medical students are aware of rTMS as a treatment for depression and they wish to have more information about it. This mirrors existing results about the lack of public awareness of rTMS as a treatment alternative for depression. Therefore, the issue of rTMS and other brain stimulation techniques has to be covered in university lectures to expand the treatment horizon of future practitioners. Awareness of rTMS should be raised via advanced training for medical and nursing staff in hospitals, so they can offer these economical and efficient treatment options to relevant patients. Patients’ doubts, prejudices, and fears need to be addressed by well-informed staff or by other patients, who have already experienced rTMS.
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### Table 1. Distribution of answers (in %) concerning attitudes towards repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) for students and patients; positive and negative answers were combined to “yes” and “no”; values do not add up to 100% due to neutral answers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answers</th>
<th>Students</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. rTMS is an efficient method.</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>0.175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. rTMS is a well-tolerated method.</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. rTMS is a safe method.</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>0.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. rTMS treatment has few side effects.</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. rTMS is a helpful treatment.</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>64.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. I am afraid of rTMS treatment.</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. I have confidence in rTMS treatment.</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>0.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. I am afraid that rTMS treatment can manipulate my brain.</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>0.328</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. I am afraid that rTMS treatment can cause irreversible brain damage.</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>0.221</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. I would prefer to apply rTMS rather than medication.</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>66.1</td>
<td>0.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. I would apply rTMS in the event of acute depression.</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>0.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. I am aware of rTMS as a psychiatric treatment of depression.</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. I would like to have more information about rTMS.</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p – value of χ² test; *statistically significant
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САЖЕТАК

Увод/Циљ

Испитивање ставова студената медицине и депресивних болесника о репетитивној транскранијалној магнетној стимулацији (рТМС) је један од могућих метода лечења депресије, што је израженије код умерених депресивних болесника који нису претходно одреаговали на антидепресивну фармакотерапију. Упркос томе што је традиционална транскранијална стимулација често коришћена у лечењу депресије, рТМС се ретко примењује код болесника са менталним поремећајима у немачким психијатријским установама, већ чешће заступљена у лечењу него што је то тренутно случај.

Методе

У испитивању учесник је био студент медицине, чиме се утицало на смањење забринутост по питању ефикасности и недостатак позитивних предуслови у испитивачкој проби. Закључак

Национална и регионална ставови студената медицине о рационалности употребе рТМС-а у лечењу депресивних болесника су у већој мери негативне, али се могу забележити и неке положитељне тенденце.
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